GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETA

1901-02

(Muz)

File No. 36-94

Serial Nos.

Je Ti

Papers Filed

Month _____

SUBJECT.

Affairs re the Akal Sha Khadim Sangha at Chandrapatna.

PREVIOUS REFERENCES.	LATER REFERENCES.

Dep 253-30

Survey Mus. 1902.

1897

No. 787

Name of Petitioner Syed Ibrahim and Sultan Peshkar of the Tirakhandan of the

Village Bargur Syed Ibrahim also the Kotwal

Taluk Chennapatna.

District Bangalore

Date of Arzi 19-9-99

Date of Receipt 20-10-99

Date of Disposal

No. of Enclosure Twenty.

52 pgs Transfer to Marginal
Copy for disposal Memo
No 378 99-10-99.

Ref: Reference is hereby solicited
Please see Page XI
" Memo No: 189 Pg 417. 8-99. of
Town Office

Ref: Put up as 25-10-99
Transferred to the Secretary to
Government, Nuzzerana department
with reference to this office No. Re
378-
dated the 9th instant.

By order
for Secretary
Cantonment Resident

25th October 1899.

6/11/99

509

Addressed to the Resident.

ABSTRACT

Petitioners urges:- " His fa
Grand father came to
Chennapatna some 80
years ago & obtained, fro
the late Rooda Krishna
rajendra wadeir. The fa
of Sankenagenahalli, yield
600 varahas per annum
on his forgoing a sum of
for it.
6000 varahas. In the time
of Hyder the Chennakalan
was given jahagir to co
and establish

[illegible] the Diwan
to relieve [illegible]
immediately took the
reins of Government.
During the time of Ameer
Ali Shah he received his
monthly salary of Rs 100 only
which has continued to be
paid till the year 1880, after
which he received an annual
grant of Rs 1200, by the
late H.H. Krishnaraja Wadeyar.
Since the time of his last
illness, after the demise
of his second consort
he is being given Rs 131-8-2
per month since August 1894
The Dewan Secretary, when
he was there, advised
the Palace to hand over the
management of the Raja
to the Durbar Office, on re-
ceipt of some allowance
which has been accordingly
submitted. The accounts
- the

Petn 784 of 1899-1900.

being made from [illegible]
office.

He begs to know the [illegible]
remaining in the [illegible]
at his credit, after [illegible]
the expenses (Rs 121-3-2 [illegible]
to him per month) from
the original grant (with
yielding 600 varahas per
annum), which [illegible]
may be used towards
the improvement of the
Makkan.

Certain works which [illegible]
undertaken by him with
a view to improve the
Makkan, out of the
savings from the grant
have been ordered to
be stopped, on the
mis-representation of
some ill-intentioned

... to his complaints, to
... Commr in the matter,
... il to no effect. The
... mr, Bangalore Dist
... e directed
... the repairs of the
... gs connected with
... done
... tk can at Govt
...
... Builder may be
... i to continue the
... ar charities, which
... en stopped for
... t 7 months, & to
... im the money
... him personally,
... sister-in-law,
... ambi.
... rding to Govt
... 18997 17-8-99, the
... al Unus has been
... ed to be stopped
this year. He submitted
a ... to the Dy

Petn No. 78998

whether the Union
to be held or not
which he has the
reply from that
Prays that the Ex
be ordered not to
stopped in future
He wants to know
whether the money
being paid to the
belongs to the his wife
or to the Inamkhan
Prays that the articles
bought by his gr
grand father may
restored to him or
that the price of
same (Rs 1000 Vide
may be ordered to
refunded to him

cl.—13 Correspondence about the repairs of the buildings.

Chasana, given by Krishnaraja Wadeir, in the year Pramadi of (1760). No. 19 of 26-5-1864

Nirup from Capt. Campbell Supdt., Bangalore to the Amildar of Chennapatam named from the late H. Krishnaraja Wadeir Rep. No. 60/11-9-67 to Sultan Nishan Tha Khadr

Purniah's letter to Amildar of Bangalore, Venkatiah.

17. Nirup to the Amildar of Chennapatam by the late H.H. Krishnaraja Wadeir of 5-12-1812.

18. Sarvard list of the Brahmans showing disbursements for Rs 121-3-2.

are all uncertified copies, but the slates that he send the originals wired).

Y.M. 10/99. Dft 10/99

MUZRAI

189

From Official Memorandum

To The Dy Comr, Bangalore

Dated 8. 1. 1900

Despatched 8th

Received

General No. 720

Muzrai F. No. ~~360 1899~~

Enclosure No. Petn & Encls

The accompanying Petn Reg no 739 of 20th octo 1899, addressed to the Resident by Syed Mahomed Sultan Mohidin shah Khadri of Cuddapah in re the affairs of the Asrar Shah Khadri Darga at Cuddapah is forwarded herewith with its enclosures to the Dy Comr, Bangalore for favor of an early enquiry and report on the following amongst other points: —

(1) Whether there are now any savings available

at the cost of the
Darga and if so, how
much, and

(4) Is the trust now in
a state of good repair
and whether service is
also duly conducted
therein. If not, why the
endowments of the Darga
may not be now declared
under attachment binding
the restoration of the trust
to a state of good repair or
why the present manager thereof
cannot be displaced by a
better one as laid down
in the late Chief Secretary's
Pro No. 3233-42 / 26th Sept. 1919.
Rev 544

2. The Dy Collector is also requested
to expedite the submission
of the report called for from him
in Govt Pro. No. 532 / 16th March 1895
Rev 736-94

B O

1899-1900

FROM The Dy. Commissioner, of the Bangalore District.

To The Secretary to the Govt of Mysore.

Dated 20th Muzrai Department

Despatched

Received January 1900

General No.

No. 666

Enclosure No

Muz. Secy's Offl. Memo dd 728 dated 8th January 1900

Calling for copy of Govt Rev Dc 832 dd 16. 3-95 quoted in Muz. 736-94 the above Memo

Forwarded

01 Feb 1900

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYSORE
RECEIVED
Date 22-1-1900
REG. No 498
MUZRAI BRANCH

Bangalore District
Dy. Commissioner's Office
Bangalore 20th January 1900

R. c. No. 666

To

The Secretary to the Government
of Mysore,
Muzrai Department

Sir,

With reference to your Official Memorandum No. 728 dated 8th January 1900, calling for report about the affairs of the Akalshakadri Mokkan, Channapatna Taluk, I have the honor to request that a copy of Government Proceedings No. 832 dated 16th March 1895, Muz 736-94 quoted in the above may be sent to this office for reference, as it is not available here.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedient servant

for
Dy. Commissioner,
Bangalore District.

MUZRAI

189

From

To

The Deputy Comr
Bangalore D t

Dated 25th January 1900
Despatched

Received

General No. 803
Muzrai F. No. 36-94

Enclosure No. 1

His letter No. 666 dated 20th Instant requesting to be furnished with a copy of Govt Pro No 832 Muz 736-4 dated 16th March 1895

Has the honor to forward herewith a copy of the Govt order referred to in the above.

Byonder

Muz Secretary

FROM

TO
The Dy comr,
Bangalore Dt

Dated
Despatched 27 Dfeby 1901
Received

General No. 955
No. 35-94
Enclosure No. 1

In continuation of this office No. 728 Jmuz 736-94 dated 8th January 1900 has the honor to forward herewith a petn No. — dated 26th February 1900 received in this office from Syed Muhammed Hussein Bad Sha Khad Chennapatna and request that the reports called for in the communication cited above may be submitted to this office without further delay.

I O
Jmuz Secy

Submitted

The bundle of Vouchers Submitted with Dy Comm's letter no 1485 dated 4th April 1904, does contain the usual list. Records since 86 have been now Submitted Hereunto attached being arranged papers chronologically. In the case of such a regular Series is very difficult to make head or tail of many and

with a Correct Forest-

Rs in accordance with

27/6/07 the standing and

on the subject.

Yes
29/6/07

Also call for Reports
of Jamsa
29/6/07

(General Serial No. 30)

1907/1907

From The Director General of the Archaeological Survey

To

The Secretary to the Government of the Bombay Presidency

Dated

Despatched 4 April 1907

Received

General No. 1485 } 1907

No.

Enclosures Number. Three



Reference as marginal

Bombay Secretary's Official Memorandum No. 728 of 3rd February 1907

The Government of Bombay

Submits certain information regarding the petition of Sayyid Mohadeen Mahomed Sahib Khadri Shah Khadri of Chennakatna touching the affairs of the Akkal Kha- -dri mokkan at Chennakatna

Bangalore District
Dy. Commissioner's Office
Bangalore 4th April 1901

To

The Secretary to the
Government of Mysore
Inwzrat Department.

Sir,

With reference to
your official Memorandum
No: 728 dated the 8th January
Inwz F 36-94
1900, calling for some information
regarding the petition of Syed
Mahamad Sultan Mohadeen
Shakadri of Chennapatna
touching the affairs of the Akkal-
-Sha Khodri Mokkan at Che-
nnapatna

Chennapatna, I have the honor to state as follows.-

2 The amount available at the credit of the mokkan is Rs 1422/-

3 The Institution is in a dilapidated condition and needs repairs to the extent of about 3000 Rs.

4. Some services such as feeding fakkeers, mowzan and cuer are not properly conducted and hence the amount due therefor is withheld.

5 There is no objection to place the endowments and the Inam lands of the mokkan under attachment till it is brought to a good condition.

6 Urs was stopped in August 1899 owing to the plague rules and it has now been ordered Continued as usual

7 The repairs of the mokkan have to be done out of the funds available at the credit of the Institution as it was repaired formerly by attaching a half of the allowances.

8 Para 2 of the Memo under reply has been answered separately

9. The Vernacular record on the Subject is enclosed.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant.

Deputy Commissioner
Bangalore District

MUZRAI

1901

From

The Secretary to the
Government of Mysore.

To

The Deputy Commr.,
Bangalore District.

Dated 19th July 1901.

Despatched 2nd

Received

General No. J

Muzrai F. No. 36-74 V. Pfile.

Enclosure No.

Has the honor to ~~forward~~ return herewith the Vernacular papers received with his letter No 1485 dated 4th April 1901 with the request that the same may be re-submitted to this Office arranged datewar together with a ferist.

Also request to be favored with the Deputy Commr's opinion on all the points in the case.

By Order

29/6/1

Dy Secretary.

Rs

1901/1902

From M. S. Narayana Rao Esqr
Dy Commissioner
Bangalore District

To L. Ananta Rami Reddy Esqr
Dy Secy to Govt. Government of Mysore
Rev Revenue Depts

Dated

Despatched 25th September 1901

Received

General No.

D No.

Enclosure No. /

Dy Secretary's docket No
8
36-94 of the 1st July 1901.

I submit the records with opinion on certain points regarding the Akalshakkadavi Makkan at Channapatna and state that, if directed, an estimate will be sent up for its restoration as it is in a dilapidated condition

From

M. V. Narayana Rao Esqre

My Commencement

Bangalore District

To

C. Anantasawmy Rao Esqr

My Secretary to the Govt of Mysore

Rev. & Genl. Depts.

Sir, With reference to your

Circl No. 8/36 of the 1st July last

regarding the Akulsha Khadre

Makan at Channapatna, I have

the honor to state that I inspected

the locality and concur in what

Mr Venkatavaradiengar has

recommended about the institution

The Makan is in a dilapidated

condition, and if directed I shall

send up an estimate for its resto-

ration which can be carried out

from funds that have been saved

hitherto.

2. The vernacular papers are

herewith submitted with a Persian

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedient servant
M. V. Narayana Rao
Dy. Commissioner
Bangalore District



Rec'd 29/8/02

Submitted for orders

RS

29/8/02

1900. 52

From

To

Dated

Despatched

Received

General No.

D No.

Enclosure No.

From
The Collector
To
The Commissioner's Office
Bangalore 28th August 1901

No.

M. A Sparks Esq
for Commissioner
Bangalore

No.

L. Ananda Rao Esq
for Secretary to the Govt
of Mysore
Mysore Department

Sir,

I have the honour to solicit the early orders of Government regarding the repairs, &c, required for the Akbar-Kadri Makan at Thennakatna referred to in this Office letter No 355 of the 21st September 1901.

I have the honour to be

Sir,

Your most obedient Servant

[illegible]
for Collector

[illegible]

To

The Dy Commr

Bangalore

Dated 7th November 1902

R.C. 956 En 3 files.
Inward 36-94

With reference to the marginally noted Communications from the Dy Commr regarding the affairs of the Akkalsha Khudri Dargah at Channapatna, I have the honor to return the entire Vernacular records received herewith, with the following observations:—

Syed Mahammad Hussain Bandshah Khudri has been repeatedly complaining to this Office about the mismanagement

Rubkari no 51 dated 3rd February 1897.
Letter no 355 dated 21st September 1901
Letter no 204 dated 28th August 1902

Of the affairs of the Durga by
the present Manager Syed
Mahammad Sultan Mahaboob
Shah Khadri. The Dy Comm^r
was, on this subject, requested
to submit a detailed report,
in Govt Pro no 832 dated
aug G.O. 436-94
20th march 1895, and certain
additional information was
also called for in this Office Docket-
no 728 dated 8th January 1900
but
no reply has yet been received
from

ii One Syed Burhan Shah
Khadri of Timmasandra
in the Kunkunhalli Taluk petitioned
this Office praying that a
certain Durga allowance
due to his grand mother Fursha
Bibi might be transferred to his
name - This petn was forwarded
to the Dy Comm^r with this Office
Cir no 507 dated 13th September
1902

for a brief report. But no such report has yet been received

iii The Vernacular papers received with the Dy Comr's Letter no 355 dated 21st September 1907, relate to several subjects – such as the repairs to the Dargah, the claims of Burhanuddin Khudri and Lydall, the mismanagement of the Trust by the present manager and so on – But on none of these subjects has the Dy Comr given his opinion, except in the case of the repairs, regarding which the Dy Comr promises to send up an Estimate, "if directed".

iv In the Dy Comr's Rukkari no 51 dated 3rd February 1897, to the address of

the late Magrai Superintendent,
~~Head Officer~~ reported that
the Dargah required a thorough
repairs, but no money was
forthcoming out of the
allowance payable to the Trustee,
as the same was being utilized
towards the daily & annual expenses
in the Dargah and the payment
of Hisse to the several Hissedars.
This point has not been fully
enquired into as to who the alleged
Hissedars are and under
what authority they claim
their shares in the Dargah
allowance for their personal
benefit.

2. Under these circumstances
the entire records bearing
on all these points are herewith
returned, with the request
that separate communications

on each subject requiring
the orders of Government
together with the Dy Comrs
opinion and the Ven: Records
separately arranged for each subject,
~~relating to the proceedings~~
and accompanied by ~~such~~ regular ~~Extracts~~, may
~~subject~~ be submitted at
an early date.

3. Petitions received
in this Office ~~have~~ regarding
the affairs of this Durga
are also forwarded herewith
as per accompanying list.

B

4. Estimate for repairing
repairing the Durga should
early along with
also be submitted as ~~promised~~
above

Dy Secretary

5. It is requested that
early attention may be
to this communication
the Durga
to be in
time of

Ds

List of Petitions forwarded to the Dy Comr Bangalore Dist

Serial No.	Register No.	Date.	From whom.
1	1049	13.2.95	Sheucha Badsha Khadri Chennapatam
2	2164	19.2.95	Syed mahomed Hussein Bacha-Khadri, Channapatam
3	1035	20.9.9	Hussein Padsha Khadri – Do –
4	1157	2.10.96	Do – Do –
5	2983	25.3.97	Do – Do –
6	635	2.11.98	Mahomed Hussein sha, Chenapatam
7	302 / 348	13.12.99	Budan Basha Khadri – Do
8	959	22.12.99	Buransha Khadri of Chennapatam Kankanhally
9	1666 / 1250	24.12.99	Do. –
	1256	25.12.99	Do –
	1509	9.4.00	Amir, Syed Alli Sabi, Chenapatam
	69 / 222	3.7.00	Hussein Padsha Khadri, Do –
	~~698~~ 4s	~~8.7.00~~ 7.7.00	Buransha Khadri, Do.
		7.7.00	Do – Do –
		12 00	Hussein Padsha Khadri, Do.

Syed Mahomed Hussein Bacha Khadri of Chennapatam & others of Chenapatam

18	1319	24.3.01	Syed Mahomed Hussein Bacha Khadri of Chennapatam.
19	nil	.4.01	Syed Khasim of Chennapatam
20	2533	23.5.01	Syed mahomed Hussen Bacha
21	1431	10.6.01	Khadrisha, Fatimabi, Bangalore city Khadri, Chennapatam.
22	2432	12.2.02	Syed Buranshakhadri, Chennapatam
23	797	14.2.02	Hussein Bacha khadri, Do
24	1146	11.6.02	Do — Do —
25	1210	19.6.02	Buranshakhadri, Chennapatam
26	805	5.11.02	Hayath Pacha Khadri Do
27	806	5.11.02	Do — Do —
28	819	7.11.02	Syed Buranshakhadri, Chennapatam

Manager, Muzrai Depart.

True Copy

7.11.1902

1902/03

FROM The Dy Commr Bangalore District

TO The Dy Secy to the Govt of Mysore Revenue Dept

Dated 27th
Despatched 28th } Jan 1903
Received

General No.

D No. 914

Enclosure No. 2 Copies

Revd 28/2/03

Dy Secys docket No. 956 Mis 736-94 of 7th March 1902

Submits further report on certain points connected with the affairs of the Akkalkoa Khash Sanja at Chennabatna

D No 914
Re: Invasion

Bangalore District
Dy Commissioner's Office
Bangalore February 1903

From

H. H. Sparkes Esq
Dy Commissioner
Bangalore District

To,

L. Anantasami Rao Esq
Dy Secy to the Govt of Mysore
Mysuru Department

Sir

With reference to your docket No 956 Mysy 36-94 dated 7th November 1902, calling for a detailed report regarding the affairs of Akalsha Kadi Makkam at Shimoga, I have the honor to forward herewith, Copy of letter No 105 dated 18th January 1903, together with the copy of a detailed memorandum

The

the enclosures from the Assistant Commissioner Vellore from which it will be seen that the present Makkan-dar Sultan Mohadeen Shah Khadri who is residing at Sivasamudram has mismanaged the affairs of the Darga by depriving the other Shareholders of their legitimate dues of allowances. The Assistant Commissioner has settled the affairs finally by obtaining muchilika (enclosed in the record) from the Agent and Manager and has effected an amicable settlement between the contending parties in regard to allowances as well as of the Makkan

(vide

(Vide the Post Script in the Asst Commissioner's memo). Government Proceedings No. 832 / mug 736-94 dated 20th March 1895, referred to in the docket under reply was replied to in this office letter No. 1503 dated 8th April 1901, stating that the Inam lands belonging to the Makkan in the Sankenahalli village have been in the exclusive enjoyment of the Makkandars

ii As regards the claim of Burahshah Khadri of Timmasandra, Kankanhalli Taluk, the Assistant Commissioner upon enquiry reports that he is the legal grandson of Shrupp Bibi who was getting 3-10-8 from the allowance

allowances of the Makkan and -

therefore recommends that the allowance
be transferred to him

iii A list showing the names of
Baravardars together with the remarks
against each name herewith forwarded
for the orders of Government.

iv There are 38 Risseldars whose
allowance varies from 4 annas to 14-10-2
as found in the above list and who claim
their allowances as per Chief Commissioner's
Proceedings No. 6478/98 of the 29th September
1876 and also as per orders of the late
Mysore Superintendent. The Makkan is
in a dilapidated condition and he
credit Rs 3497-4-4

5 Vernacular files are resubmitted
for

for reference separately arranged with forests

3 The petitions referred to are also enclosed in the record.

4 The Executive Engineer, Bangalore Division, has been requested to prepare an estimate for the repair of the Makkan and it will be submitted for the orders of Government when it is received from him.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient Servant

for Secretary to Government

1902/03.

From,

Ahamad Ali Sahib Esq

Asst Commissioner
Yelahanka Range

To,

H. H. Sharkey Esq

Deputy Commissioner
Bangalore

Dated 18th January 1903

No. 105.

Sir,

I have the honour to report as follows on the affairs of Akhalsha Khadir Makkan at Chennahatna on all the points referred to in Kannarese yadast No. 231 dated 22nd November 1902

—

I visited Chennahatna on

On more than 4 or 5 occasions for instituting the necessary enquiries concerning the mismanagement of the Makkan and disposed of the reference finally by obtaining Mutchilika from the Agent and Manager and effecting amicable settlements between the contending factions.

To put the affairs of the Makkan in good order, a detailed list of daily performances and special ceremonies have been prepared and sent to the Amildar and a Committee of 8 respectable Muhamadan local gentlemen has been formed for supervising and controlling the affairs of the Makkan

2. A careful and Shifting enquiry has been held of the claims of several recipients of stipends

And

And disposed them of in accordance with the Muhammadan Law. The claims of Boovan Sab Khadri of Tumasandra village, Kankanhalli Taluk (who was referred to the Civil Court by Mr Ludlow so far back as 1896) have been fully enquired into with the result that the Stipend of Rs 3-10-8 payable to Shoorfa Bibi may be transferred to his name as being the legal grandson of the deceased Bibi. The necessary papers relating to this enquiry are also added on to the file.

3. As regards the repairs of the Makkam which is in a very dilapidated condition, an estimate has been pre-

the Amildar so far back as 1897

which

which now requires to be checked by the Public Works Department authorities. As the same exceeds Rs 500/ the work has to be executed by the Public Works Officer and its cost met from the Savings of Rs 3697/ held in the Government Treasury to the credit of the Makkan. The undersigned has taken the humble of getting the masjid in other places built from private sources where the costs exceeded Rs 2,000/ and more. and in the present instance would willingly Cooperate for getting the needful work done but for any technical objection that may be raised by the D.P.W. Office in the manner of its construction.

4. The various points on which information

is

is sought by Government Proceedings

No. 956 dated 7th November 1902 have
36-94

been fully dwelt upon in the English

Memorandum, herein enclosed. The other

necessary papers relating to the

enquiries held in connection with this

subject are also separately attached

5. The files of vernacular correspondence relating to this subject have been finally sorted, as explained in the Kanarese Yadast and arranged with ferists and these are accordingly forwarded.

I have &c

(Sd) Ahamed Ali
Asst Commissioner
Closepet Range

"Copy"

Mysore
HMS

Memorandum

The makkan at Hemmadatta - Akkalsha Khadri Durga receives a monthly allotment of Rs 121-3-2 from Government for Chirakbatti ie for illuminating the Durga. Out of this Sum, a sum of Rs 99-10-5 is distributed among the descendants of the Khadri by the Makkandar and the balance is kept apart to meet the establishment charges for Oorous, Jatiya and for feeding Fakeers.

For many years the head makkandar ie the headman of the family used to draw the from the Taluk Treasury and

and distribute the amount among the minor shareholders (38 in number). Complaints were received subsequently against the Makkandar stating that the hissedars were not properly receiving their monthly allowance, that the makkan was in a dilapidated condition and that the manager was misappropriating the allowances for his own personal benefit and that ~~the~~ amounts drawn for persons who have no concern with the makkan and utilized by the makkandar should be debited at the credit of the makkan. Such in fact is the tenor of the complaints repeatedly made by several individuals. An enquiry was made in 1894 by the late Muzrai Superintendent and

and on hearing the manager, passed orders to the effect that the amounts may be disbursed from the Treasury direct to the shareholders, as per Dream Certificate granted in their favor. Since then the amounts are being regularly drawn and disbursed from the Treasury to the Hissedars.

It may be interesting to give here the origin of the Institution, its resources and expenditure.

Akhalska Kadri was the great preceptor of Hyder Ali. During the lifetime of the latter an idea of founding a makkan for distribution of charity to fakeers in his name suggested to his disciple Hyder Ali who was then

Then in the Service of the late Hijmee as Doddakrishna Raja Wodeyar Bahadur.

Hyder Ali obtained on payment of money from the Maharajah the Jaghir of six villages yielding a revenue of 600 hagadas as shown in the Copper inscription of Salivahana 1682 Corresponding with A.D 1759. The Khasi died two years after the Date of the said grant.

During the time of Tippu Sultan, the whole Inam villages were in the enjoyment of the Makkan. But this was subsequently resumed during the administration of the Dewan Mr Poormiah and in their stead a Cash allowance of 600 hagadas was granted, which was subsequently reduced to 500 hagadas which is equivalent

to

to Rs 1454-6-4 annually or Rs 121-3-2 per mensem.

On 3rd November 1877, the Inam Superintendent confirmed the said grant of Rs 121-3-2, as per Title Deed on the condition that the grant is only to continue so long as its object is fulfilled and the makkan is kept in good order. The Inam Superintendent's Settlement order makes an allusion to a long standing dispute among the Shareholders with a remark that it was for the revenue authorities to settle the same.

The allowance is shewn as follows

To the Shareholders in different proportions as per servants —	79-10-5
	19-12-11
Fating to Warid	14-15-6
	6-12-4
	121-3-2

The present manager Syed Sultan Mohadem Shah Khadri is one of the said 38 shareholders. His own stipend is Rs 14-10-2 while that of the remaining shareholders varies from 4 annas to Rs 4-12-6

The manager and the other shareholders have not been in harmony with each other and have been quarelling for many years last. Akhalsha Khadri, the founder of the institution and the preceptor of Syed Ali left his son Syed Noman Shah Khadri, who was succeeded by his son Syed Peera Shah Khadri after whose death his son the present Manager Syed Sultan Mohadem Shah Khadri was recognized by Government in 1864.

The present manager's father Syed Peershah Khadri was the son of Syed Noman Shah Khadri by his first wife while

While the other 37 shareholders are the descendants by the other 23 wives of the said Syed Nowaw Shah Khadri.

It appears that under an order dated 6th April 1822, the present managers opponents claim the amount of share payable to them which was fixed by the late H.H. The Maharajah Krishnaraja Wodeyar Bahadur

In Proceedings No. 6478/98 of the 29th September 1876, the then Chief Commissioner ordered that the allowances to the shareholders should be paid to them through the present Makkandar. Syed Sultan Mohadeen Shah Khadri who was however declared to power to discontinue the allowances recipients This order continued in

in force upto August 1894 when in consequence of the dispute between the Makkandar and the Shareholders, the late Mudaliyar Superintendent directed the disbursement of the allowance through the Amildar

It appears that the Inam lands attached to the Makkan are not in the enjoyment of the present manager owing to disputes and his subsequent suspension in 1900. The amounts due to the other minor shareholders are being paid regularly from the Treasury while it is understood that the allowances due to the Makkandar have been withheld and kept in suspense. Other sundry items have also been held in savings to the credit of the Makkan.

The present Makkandar
was

was no doubt solely responsible
for this confused state and mismanagement
of affairs and his explanations are not
fully satisfactory. Ever since the sudden
death of his only son, he left Themapatna
and resided in Sivasamudram. Holding as
he did an independant management and there
being no Government interference, it was
therefore possible for him to manage things
in his own fashion by depriving the other
shareholders of their legitimate due, etc.
and this ultimately led to mismanagement
of affairs. In fact, matters reached a
climax and the complaints against him
were repeatedly made that it was found
necessary for the Government to interfere for
restoring order and to put
the

the affairs in good condition.

One Syed Mahamed Hussain Badsha Khadri has put in petition regarding the mismanagement of affairs by the present Manager Syed Mahamed Sultan Mohadeen Shakhadri. Almost all the petitions which speak of the so called mismanagement relate chiefly to the circumstance of the present manager having misappropriated the allowances due to certain other hissedars, for his own benefit and in not getting the necessary repairs done to the Makkan and the Government so far back as 1895, desired in Proceedings No 832/Mag 7361 94 dated 20th March 1895 to be informed of this allegation of mismanagement.

To avoid any more repetition of

of such mismanagement and irregularities a detailed list of daily performances and of special ceremonies has been prepared and sent to the Amildar. A committee of 8 respectable Muhamadan local gentlemen who seemed to me to be much interested in the efficient administration of the Institution has been formed and a list of their names submitted for sanction. Their powers will be similar to those of Dharmadarsee of Hindu Charitable institutions. Amicable settlements have been effected between the contending factions who were strongly persuaded to realize the fact that such will ultimately end in loss deterioration of

of the Makkan itself. A careful and
sifting enquiry has been held of the
claims of several recipients of stipends
and disposed them of in accordance with
the Muhamadan Law. A few vacancies
caused by the demise or disappearance
of the Stipendiaries were transfered to the
names of the nearest legal heirs. The
present Makkandar Syed Sultan Mohadeen
Sha Khadri who resided at Sivasamudram
has now come to Shemmahatua and has
given a Mutchulika to manage the Makkan
on the most approved lines, Subject to the
supervision of the Taluk authorities. I
Strongly recommend that his temporary
Suspension from his office be cancelled
and he be reinstated in his office as
Manager with back pay. Syed Muhamad
Hussain Sha Khadri who has been
repeatedly

repeatedly Complaining to the Revenue authorities about the management of the Makkam has been appointed Morzan and the other leader Syed Ibrahim Shah Hadhri the Khatib of the Makkam.

As regards the repairs not being Carried on by the Makkandar this was not possible then owing to mismanagement of affairs and there being no funds at the credit of the Makkam from which the cost of repairs may be met.

From the time of Government interference in 1894, it has been found possible to deposit in the Government Treasury, a good sum sufficient to meet the cost of repairs after having the to the minor shareholders usual allowance of Rs 14 — and

and odd to the Manager has been withheld payment since Since his suspension as also all other items of expenses, except Stipends paid to the Hissedars. This Complied with the revenues of Inamati lands till now enjoyed by him as the sole agent and Makkandar has reached an Abbreciable figure of Rs 3497/, which sum is held in deposit in the Government Treasury.

Darga allowances

The allowances were fixed under order dated 6th April 1822 by the late H H The Maharajah Krishna Raja Wodeyar Bahadur to the ancestors of the present Shareholders. In the Chief Commissioners Proceedings No 6478/98 dated 29th September 1876 This was confirmed and the allowances

allowances have been regularly handed to the Mussedars. Since then, the payments have been made for their own personal benefit. Instances have come to notice in which persons unconnected with the Makkan have been found enjoying the money allowances and this practice, if it exists should be condemned. The legal claimants should first be ascertained in view to the allowances passing over to them in the first instance. Should no claimants come forward the sums may be brought under Savings to the credit of the Makkan.

Accordingly a statement showing the claims of 38 shareholders including the has been prepared as also the share due to each individual with

with an opinion as to the individual who may be recognized as the legal hussedar in each case

The one notable instance is the repeated Complaint of Burawsha Kadhi of Thimmasandra village, Kankanhalli Taluk, praying for the allowance of Rs 3-10-8 which was continued to his grand-mother Shoorfa Bibi during her life time and to which after her demise he states he was entitled

The circumstances of the claim are as hereunder :-

One Patcha Saheb was in receipt of an allowance of Rs 5/8. After his death it was transferred to his two wives in different proportions. The first wife Shoorfa Bibi getting two shares viz Rs 3-10-8 while the second wife

wife Sharfa Bi was allowed one share Vij 1-13-4. The said Shorfa Bi died and the allowance was kept in suspense pending the claims of the rival claimants.

Buransha Khadri of Tumkasandra son of Hussain Patcha was one of the claimants, while the other Syed Ali claimed as being the grand son of the late Patcha Saheb by his second wife under a will executed in his favor by his Step mother.

In their Commissioner's Proceedings No. 6478/98 dated 29th September 1876, the said Buransha Khadri was referred to the Civil Court for establishing his right and title to the allowance. From a careful

held by me on the Shot, it has

established that

the

the present claimant Syed Buran Shah Khadri is the lawful eldest son of Hussein Patcha. Respectable Muhammadans of the place have come forward to give evidence to the legal marriage of the petitioner's mother to Hussain Patcha. The other parties have offered no evidence to prove their plea viz that Syed Buranshah Khadri was not an issue of a legal marriage. This plea is easy of assessment but difficult to prove. I therefore recommend that the stipend of Rs 3-10-8 payable to Shoorfa Bi be transferred to the name of Buranshah Khadri, the legal grandson of the deceased Bibi.

Remains to the Makkan

There is no doubt about the present dilapidated condition of the Makkan. The Makkandar

Makkandar and the Shareholders — should be held responsible for the ruined condition seeing that the object of the original grant was to continue the allowances only so long as the religious ceremonies (Oorus and Fatyah etc) were duly observed and the Makkan was kept in good condition.

The Cemetry, Nagar Khan Masjid have tumbled down. The Diwankhana leaks and will follow other buildings if not promptly repaired. An estimate was once prepared by the Taluk Amildar so far back as 1897. There is a Masjid — attached to the Makkan in a village Santemogenahalli. A sum of — is held in the Government Treasury

Treasury to the credit of the Makkan

I submit that the Executive Engineer Bangalore District may be requested to prepare proper estimate for all the necessary repairs and them submitted to Government for sanction The repairs of course will have to be executed by the Public Works Officer

(Sd) Ahamed Ali
Asst Commissioner
Yelahanka Range

Yelahanka
13th January 1903

Post Script

Out of Rs 14/ and odd granted to the present Makkandar a sum of Rs 3-11-9 has to be paid for life to Syed Sayeth Badsha Khadri as being the nearest legal heir to the deceased Syed Ali in whose favor

a decree was passed by the
Civil Court long ago.

The amount of Rs 2-11-0 shown
against Hazarambi and Rs 4-10-0 against
Hazanath Patcha in the Assessors
Claim list will have to be credited to
the Makkan and for opening a school
therein for the religious education of the
Muhamadan boys. This has been noted in
the Mutchilika given by the several Hakdars
of the Makkan

The amicable settlements have
been effected in this way between the
contending factions. The sum of Rs 30/-
required to meet the expenses of 3 coroose
by Ahamad Shah Khadri, Khader Padsha
Muhomed Shah Khadri should be
had

hand into the hands of Syed Mahamed Hussein Patcha Khadri and all the Hakdars will have general supervision over the celebrations.

As regards the celebrations of 5 the Oorooses of Hazarath Akhalsha Khadri, Goolsha, Manjal Shah Bi, Boodan Shah Khadri, Oosman Shah Khadri The Agent Syed Sultan Mohadeen Shah Khadri will conduct the celebrations in the course of the year meeting the necessary costs. Syed Mahamad Hussain Patcha and his men will attend the Oorooses and the agent will also Conduct the four Fatihas during the year

The four fatihas that is to say

Mohurrum, Rabbi Awal Dustagir

Sahib

Sab's Fatiya, two Khutbas (Ramzan and Bakr'Id) will be conducted annually. The annual revenue derived from the Doddi will be collected by the Agent and the above Mohurram Fatiya will be conducted in the presence of Syed Muhamad Hussain Patcha and his men. The expense list will be prepared and given to the Taluk Kutcherry by the Agent and all the Hakdars may peruse them whenever they desire to do so.

Out of Rs 12-13-, which balance to the credit of the Makkam a sum of Rs 5/- will be spent upon the instruction imparted to the youths in the makkam, the balance

balance being left to the credit of the Makkan

The revenue of the Inamti lands belonging to the Makkan in the Gandavadam village will be distributed among the Seval kakdars and servants attached to the Makkan. In this way, amicable settlements have been effected.

(Sd) Ahamad H
Asst Commissioner
Hosehet Range

Noted

for Deputy Commissioner
Bangalore District

Murad

Read the following :—

Petitions from Syed Mohomed Hussein Badsha Khadri and others of Channapatna, complaining of the mismanagement of the affairs of the Akhal Shah Khadri Dargah, by its Muhammad Makkandar, Syed Sultan Mohideen Shah Khadri

ii) Petitions from Syed Buran Shah Khadri of Thimma-Sandra Village in the Kankan-halli Taluk, claiming from the Dargah allowance a sum of Rs 3-10-8 monthly enjoyed by his grandmother Bibi during her lifetime And

Submitted to the Dewan for approval before issue. The arrangements made by Mr. Ahmed Ali appear to be good. Before the names of the managers are notified, it will be ascertained whether they are all alive now. The Dargah — nearly Rs 3500 at present — is now to meet the cost of repairs

Dewan.
31. 3. '04

43/4.04.

And iii) Correspondence ending with letter No. 904 dated 27th February 1903 from the Dy Commissioner, Bangalore District, reporting on the above and forwarding copy of letter No. 105 of the 8th January 1903 together with the following Memo from the Assistant Commr. in charge of the Channapatna and other Talukas:—

" In Extenso

———— " ————

Order thereon:— No. 3886-8 Dt 16.6.04
Mun. F 36-94

The Akbal Shah Khadri Dargah at Channapatna

is

is an important Mohomedan

Institution, endowed

with a monthly Cash grant

of Rs 121-3-2 besides

certain inam lands for

Fathya and other services

and for the maintenance

of the Dargah building

Owing to the mismanagement

of the Institution by the

Makkandar and to his

continued absence

from Chamapatna and

also to disputes among

the descendants of the original

holder for shares of

Stipends due to them, the

of the Institution

have fallen into great
confusion. Mr Ahmed
Ali, Assistant Commr.
in charge of Channapatna
and other Taluks has instituted
an elaborate enquiry
into the various complaints
and has submitted the
detailed memo read above
The several points
urged for consideration
of Govt relate to the
following - Viz:-

i) The monthly allowance
of Rs 3-10-8 claimed by
Syed Imran Sha Khadri
of Minnasandra village

ii) The irregular payment

by the Mahkandar of stipend allowances to some of the share holders and the consequent dispute amongst them.

iii) The dilapidated condition of the Dargah building and the measures proposed to be adopted for its restoration.

iv) The General mismanagement of the affairs of the institution by the present Mahkandar and the steps proposed to be taken to prevent the same in future

Finally, as regards

the

the stipend allowance
claimed by Syed Burau
Sha Khadri, the Assistant
Commissioner who held
a sifting enquiry in to
the claim is satisfied
that the claimant is the
legal grandson of Surfa
Bibi the original incumbent
and has as such established
his right and title to
the allowance of the deceased.
The allowance of Rs 3-10-8
enjoyed by the deceased is
both by Mr. Ahmed Ali and the Dy Commr.
recommended to be transferred
to Syed Burau Sha Khadri
This recommendation is
Sanctioned

3. Secondly, as regards the irregular disbursements of the monthly allowances due to certain stipend holders, it is reported that the allegations of the petitioners that the makkandar withheld some of payments to the share holders, are true. To put an end to such complaints in future, the Assistant Commr. has, after a detailed enquiry submitted list (hereto appended) the names &c

of each recipient of the stipend and the amount payable to each. The recipients who number 38 in all are reported to be the legal claimants. The disbursements of the allowances as per list referred to above is as recommended by the Dy Commissioner, approved And, such disbursements will as heretofore be made by the Taluk Amildar himself ~~who is~~ in accordance with the foregoing list, as directed in Govt Ro: No. 832 Mun 736-94

dated 16th March 1895.

Of these 38 recipients, the stipends payable to the marginally noted 3 individuals aggregating Rs 12-13-0, are reported to be brought under savings owing to the absence of the claimants thereto. It is proposed to establish in the Dargah a Mohomedan religious school, the cost of its maintenance - viz, Rs 5 a month being met from the savings of Rs 12-13-0 referred to above. This is sanctioned

Yousuf Sha Khadri — Rs 5-8-0
Hazaracambi — " 2-11-0
Hazaratha Pacha — " 4-10-0
Total Rs 12-13-0

tentatively for a period
of one year after which
a report as to its working
should be submitted
for orders of Govt

4. Thirdly, as regards
the disrepaired state
of the Darga buildings
the Dy Commr states that
he has requested the Executive
Engineer, Bangalore Division
to forward Estimates
for the needful repairs
The Dy Secretary to Govt
Nursing department
who inspected the institution
during his ~~xxxxxx~~ tour in April 1903
is of opinion that it
requires immediate
renovation

renovation. The
Chief Engineer of Selangor
is accordingly requested
to issue necessary instructions
in the matter and obtain
and forward for sanction of
the Government in
the Muzzai department
an Estimate for the
repairs of the building

5. Fourthly, as regards
the general mismanagement
of the affairs of the District
by the Makkandar, it
is clear from the Com
munications read above,
that Sultan Mohideen
Shahbandar, the present
Syed Muhammad
has grossly

mismanaged the affairs
of the Darga and has
caused a great deal
of confusion. On this
ground he is said to
have been suspended
by the local officers
from the Office in 1900.
The Assistant Commissioner now
recommends that the
suspension may be cancelled
and the Makkandar
reinstated in Office, as
he is reported to have
permanently come back
to Chamapatna and
given a Muchalika
binding himself to
manage the Darga
affairs

affairs on the most approved lines, subject to the supervision of the Taluk authorities. In these circumstances, the Govt: are pleased to sanction the reinstatement of the Makkandan to his office. As regards however, the recommendation to the disbursement of the arrears of allowance due to the Makkandan during the period of his suspension the same will have to be dealt with separately

of a

report from the Dy
Commissioner Shewing
the particulars of the
Suspension of the Makkandar
together with his opinion
in regard to the disbursement
of the arrears in question.
6. Lastly, in regard
to the future management
of the Dargah, the Assistant
Commr reports that
a detailed list of daily
services therein together
with periodical ceremonies
connected therewith, has
been prepared and forwarded
to the Amildar for guidance.
To supervise the working

of the Institution the
names of 8 respectable
Mohomedan residents
of Channapatna are
suggested for their being
appointed Nazarun-e-ou
-Kotto- With proposed
number appears to be unnecessarily large and is sanctioned. A Notification
is awarded to appoint five of them as
Nazarun e-ou
appointing for which purpose
-Kotto will be separately
issued. Their duties
and powers will be the
same as those of Dharmadarsis
appointed from time
to time for Hindu religious
Institutions -

As regards

income of the main land
attached to the Dargah
being rateably distributed
among the shareholders,
the Govt cannot accept
the recommendation
as it will be prejudicial
to the interests of the Trust.
The entire income
should be regularly
collected and credited
to the funds of the Dargah
in view to its being utilized
towards the periodical
repairs thereof

8 The other
proposals

No 3889 dt 16.6.04
Mukhi: F.36-94

" 3890 dt 16.6.04.
Mukhi: F-36-94

Notification

The undermentioned Mohomedan residents of Chavakachcheri are appointed Nazarun-e on-Kotto to supervise the affairs of the Akhal Sha Khadir Makkam at Chavakachcheri ~~and other Mohomedan institutions attached to it.~~

1. Chaboo Meeyan Saheb
2. Syed Mohadeen Sha Khadir Saheb
3. Hyath Badsha Khadir Saheb
4. Syed Hussein Khadir Saheb
5. Syed Mustan Sha Khadir Saheb

~~Booden Peera Sha Saheb~~
~~Sahiboo Mustan Saheb~~
~~Khassim Saheb~~

By order
31. 9. 04
Dy Secretary

To,

The Compiler, Mysore Gazette

for publication in English &

Copy is forwarded to the Dy

Commr, Bangalore Dist in continn

of Govt Pro No dy

By order

Dy Secy

20/5/03

READ THE FOLLOWING —

(i) Petitions from Syed Mahomed Hussain Badsha Kadri and others of Channapatna, complaining of the mismanagement of the affairs of the Akhal Sha Khadri Darga by its Makkandar Syed Mahomed Sultan Mohadeen Sha Khadri

(ii) Petitions from Syed Buran Sha Khadri of Thimmasandra village in the Kankanhalli Taluk, claiming from the Dargi allowance a sum of Rs 3-10-8 monthly enjoyed by his grand mother Saifa Bibi during the life time.

And,

(iii) Correspondence ending with letter No 914, dated 27th February 1903, from the Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District, reporting on the above and forwarding copy of letter No 105 of the 8th January 1903, together with the following memo from the Assistant Commissioner in charge of the Channapatna and other Taluks —

"The Makkan at Channapatna Akkalsha Khadri Dargа, receives a monthly allotment of Rs. 121-3-2 from Government for Chirakbutti for illuminating the Darga. Out of this sum, a sum of Rs 79-10-5 is distributed among descendants of the Kuadri by the Makkandar and the balance is kept apart to meet the establishment charges for Ooroos, Fatvah and for feeding fakirs.

For many years, the head Makkandar, *i e*, the headman of the Kladri family, used to draw the allowance from the Taluk Treasury and distribute the amount among the minor shareholders (38 in number). Complaints were received subsequently against the Makkandar stating that the hissedars were not properly receiving their monthly allowances, that the Makkan was in a dilapidated condition and that the Manager was misappropriating the allowances for his own personal benefit and that amounts drawn for persons who have no concern with the Makkan and utilized by the Makkandar should be held at the credit of the Makkan. Such, in fact, is the tenor of the complaints repeatedly made by several individuals. An enquiry was made in 1894 by the late Muzrai Superintendent and an hearing, the Manager passed orders to to the effect that the amounts may be disburshed from the Trearury direct to the shareholders as per Inam certificates granted in their favor Since then, the amounts are being regularly drawn and disbursed from the Treasury to the hissedars

It may be interesting to give here the origin of the Institution, its resources and expenditure.

Akhalsha Khadri was the great preceptor of Hyder Ali During the life-time of the latter, an idea of founding a makkan for distribution of charity to fakirs in his name suggested itself to his disciple Hyder Ali, who was then in the service of the late Highness Dodda Krishnaraj Wadeyar Bahadur.

Hyder Ali obtained, on payment of money from the Maharaja, the Jahgir of six villages yielding a revenue of 600 pagodas as shown in the copper inscription of Samvahana 1682 corresponding with A. D. 1759. The Khadri died 40 years after the date of the said grant.

During the time of Tippu Sultan, the whole Inam villages were in the enjoyment of the Makkan. But this was subsequently resumed during the administration of the Dewan Purnayya and in their stead, a cash allowance of 600 pagodas was granted, which was subsequently reduced to 500 pagodas which is equivalent to Rs. 1,451-6-0 annually or Rs 121-3-2 per mensem.

On the 30th November 1877, the Inam Superintendent confirmed the said grant of Rs 121-3-2 as per Title Deed on the condition that the grant is only to continue so long as its object is fulfilled and the Makkan is kept in good order. The Inam Superintendent's settlement order makes an allusion to a long-standing dispute among the shareholders with a remark that it was for the revenue authorities to settle the same.

The allowance is spent as follows :—

	Rs.	a	p
To the shareholders in different proportions	79	10	5
Pay of servants	19	12	11
Ooroos fatiya, etc.	14	15	6
... ...	6	12	4
Total ..	121	3	2

Mohadin Sha Khadri is one of the said 38 holders. His own of the remaning shareholders varies from 4 annas to Rs 4-2 6.

The Manager and the other shareholders have not been in harmony with each other and have been quarrelling for many years past. Akbalsha Khadri, the founder of the Institution and the preceptor of Hyder Ali left his son Syed Usman Sha Khadri, who was succeeded by his son Syed Peersha Khadri, after whose death his son, the present Manager Syed Sultan Mohadin Sha Khadri was recognized by Government in 1864.

The present Manager's father Syed Peersha Khadri was the son of Syed Usman Sha Kadri by his first wife, while the other 37 shareholders are the descendants by the other 23 wives of the said Usman Sha Khadri.

It appears that under an order, dated 6th April 1822, the present Manager's opponents claim the amount of shares payable to them which was fixed by His Highness the late Maharaja Krishnaraj Wadeyar Bahadur.

In Proceedings No. 6478/98 of the 29th September 1876, the then Chief Commissioner ordered that the allowances to the shareholders should be paid to them through the present Makkandar, Syed Sultan Mohadeen Sha Khadri, who was however declared to have no power to discontinue the allowance of any one of the recipients. This order continued in force up to August 1894, when, in consequence of the dispute between the Makkandar and the shareholders, the late Muzrai Superintendent directed the disbursement of the allowances through the Amildar.

It appears that the Inam lands attached to the Makkan are not in the enjoyment of the present Manager owing to disputes and his sucsebuent suspension in 1900. The amounts due to the other minor shareholders are being paid regularly from the Treasury, while it is understood that the allowances due to the Makkandar have been withheld and kept in suspense. Other sundry items have also been held in savings to the credit of the Makkan.

The present Makkandar was no doubt solely responsible for this confused state and mismanagement of affairs and his explanations are not fully satisfactory. Ever since the sudden death of his only son, he left Channapatna and resided in Sivasamudram. Holding, as he did, an independent management and there being no Government interference, it was therefore possible for him to manage things in his own fashion by depriving the other shareholders of their legitimate dues, etc., and this ultimately led to mismanagement of affairs. In fact, matters reached a climax and the complaints against him were repeatedly made that it was found necessary for the Government to interfere for the purpose of restoring order and to put the affairs in good condition.

One Syed Mahomed Hussain Bhadsha Khadri has put in petitions regarding the mismanagement of affairs by the present Manager, Syed Mahomed Sultan Mohadin Sha Khadri. Almost all the petitions which speak of the so-called mismanagement relate chiefly to the circumstances of the present Manager having misappropriated the allowances due to certain other hissedars for his own benefit and in not getting the necessary repairs done to the Makkan, and the Government so far back as 1895, desired in Proceedings No. 832—Muz. F. 36-94, dated 20th March 1895, to be informed of this allegation of mismanagement.

To avoid any more repetition of such mismanagement and irregularities, a detailed list of daily performances and of special ceremonies has been prepared and sent to the Amildar. A Committee of eight respectable Mahomedan local gentlemen, who seem to me to be much interested in the efficient administration of the Institution, has been formed and a list of their names submitted for sanction. Their powers will be similar to those of Dharmadarsis of Hindu Charitable Institutions. Amicable settlements have been effected between the contending factions who were strongly persuaded to realize the fact that such quarrels will ultimately end in loss to them and in the deterioration of the makkan itself. A careful and sifting enquiry has been held of the claims of several recipients of stipends and disposed of in accordance with the Mahomedan Law. A few vacancies caused by the demise or disappearance of the stipendiaries were transferred to the names of the nearest legal heirs. The present Makkandar Syed Sultan Mohadin Sha Khadri, who resided at Sivasamudram has now come to Channapatna and has given a mutchalika to manage the makkan on the most approved lines, subject to the supervision of the Taluk authorities. I strongly recommend that his temporary suspension from his office be cancelled and he be reinstated in his office as Manager with arrears of pay. Syed Mahomed Hussain Sha Khadri, who has been repeatedly complaining to the several authorities about the management of the makkan has been appointed Morzan and the other leader Syed Hayath Sha Khadri, the khathib of the makkan.

As regards the repairs not being carried on by the Makkandar, this was not possible then owing to mismanagement of affairs and there being no funds at the credit of the makkan from which the cost of repairs may be met.

From the time of Government interference in 1894, it has been found possible to deposit in the Government Treasury a good sum sufficient to meet the cost of repairs after paying the allowances to the minor shareholders. The personal allowance of Rs. 14 and odd to the Manager has been withheld paymont ever since his suspension as also all other items of expenses except stipends paid to the hissedars. This, coupled with the revenues of Inamati lands till now enjoyed by him as the sole Agent and Makkandar, has reached an appreciable figure of **Rs. 3,497**, which sum is held in deposit in Government Treasury.

Muzrai, dated 16th June 1904.

Darga Allowances.

The allowances were fixed under an order, dated 6th April 1822 by His Highness the late Maharaja Krishnaraj Wadeyar Bahadur to the ancestors of the present shareholders. In the Chief Commissioner's Proceedings No. 6478/98, dated 29th September 1876, this was confirmed and the allowances have been regulary paid to the hissedars Since then the payments have been made for their own personal benefit. Instances have come to notice in which persons unconnected with the Makkan have been found enjoying the money allowances and this practice, if it exists, should be condemned. The legal claimants should first be ascertained in view to the allowances passing over to them in the first instance. Should no claimants come forward the sums may be brought under "savings" to the credit of the makkan.

Accordingly, a statement showing the claims of 38 shareholders including the Manager has been prepared as aslo the amount of share due to each individual with an opinion as to the individual who may be recognised as the legal hissedar in each case.

The one notable instance is the repeated complaint of Buran Sha Khadri of Timmasandra village, Kankanhalli Taluk, praying for the allowance of Rs. 2-10-8 which was continued to his grandmother Surfa Bibi during her life-time and to which, after her demise he states he was entitled.

The circumstances of the claim are as hereunder;—

One Pacha Sahib was in receipt of an allowance of Rs. 5-8-0. After his death it was transferred to his two wives in different proportions. The first wife Surfa Bibi getting two shares, *viz.*, Rs. 3-10-8 while the second wife Sharifa Bi was allowed one share *viz.*, Rs. 1-13-4. The said Surfa Bibi died and the allowance was kept in suspense pending the claims of the rival claimants.

Buran Sha Khadri of Timmasandra, son of Hussain Patcha, was one of the claimants, while the other Syed Ali claimed as being the grandson of the late Patcha Sahib by his second wife under a will executed in his favor by his step mother.

In Chief Commissioner's Proceedings No. 6478/98, dated 29th September 1876 the said Buran Sha Khadri was referred to the Civil Court for establishing his right and title to the allowance. From a careful enquiry held by me on the spot, it has been satisfactorily established that the present claimant Syed Buran Sha Khadri is the lawful eldest son of Hussain Patcha. Respectable Mahomedans of the place have come forward to give evidence to the legal marriage of the petitioner's mother to Hussain Patcha. The other parties have offered no evidence to prove their plea, *viz.*, that Syed Buran Sha Khadri was not an issue of a legal marriage. This plea is easy of averment but difficult to prove. I therefore recommend that the stipend of Rs. 3-10-8 payable to Surfa Bibi be transferred to the name of Buran Sha Khadri the legal grandson of the deceased Bibi.

Repairs to the Makkan.

There is doubt about the present dilapidated condition of the makkan. The Makkandar and the shareholders should be held responsible for this ruined condition, seeing that the object of the original grant was to continue the allowance only so long as the religious ceremonies (Oorooss and Fatia, etc.) were duly observed and the Makkan was kept in good condition.

The cemetery and Nagarkhana Masjid have tumbled down. The Dewankhana leaks and will follow other buildings if not promptly repaired. An estimate was once prepared by the Taluk Amildar so far back as 1897. There is a Masjid attached to this makkan in a village called Santemogenahalli. A sum of Rs. 3,497-0-4 is held in the Government Treasury to the credit of the makkan. I submit that the Executive Engineer, Bangalore District, may be requested to prepare a proper estimate for all the necessary repairs and then submit to Government for sanction. The repairs of course will have to be executed by the Public Works Officers.

Out of Rs. 14 and odd granted to the present Makkandar, a sum of Rs. 3-11-9 has to be paid for life to Syed Hayath Badsha Khadri, as being the nearest legal heir to the deceased Syed Ali in whose favor a decree was passd by the Civil Court long ago.

The amount of Rs. 2-11-0 shown against Hazarambi and Rs. 4-10-0, against Hazanath Patcha in the hissedars' claim list will lapse to the credit of the Makkan and for opening a school therein for the religious education of the Mahomedan boys. This has been noted in the Mutchalika given by the several hakdars of the Makkan.

The amicable settlements have been effected in this way between the contending factions. of Rs 30 required to meet the expenses of three Oorooses, *viz.*, Ahamad Sha Khadri, dri, Mahomed Sha Khadri should be paid into the hands of Syed Mahomed adri and all the hakdars will have general supervision over the celebrations.

brations of five other Oorooses of Hazarath Akhalsha Khadri, Goolsha Khadri Oosman Sha Khadri, the Agent Syed Sultan Mohadin Sha

Khadri will conduct the celebrations in the course of the year incurring the necessary costs. Syed Mahomed Hussain Patcha and his men will attend the Oorooses and the Agent will also conduct the four fatiyas during the year.

The four fatiyas, that is the Fatiya, Moharam, Rabbilawal, Dastgir Sab's fatiya, two Khutbas (Ramzan and Bakrid) will be conducted annually. The annual revenue derived from the Doddi will be collected by the Agent and the above Moharam Fatiya will be conducted in the presence of Syed Mahomed Hussain Patcha and his men. The expense list will be prepared and given to the Taluk Cutcherry by the Agent and all the hakdars may peruse them whenever they desire to do so.

Out of Rs. 12-3-2 which is to be credited to the Makkan, a sum of Rs. 5 will be spent [illegible] to the Mahomedan youths in the Muzrai, the balance being left to the credit of the Makkan.

The revenue of the Inam lands belonging to the Makkan in the Gandavadaru village will be distributed among the several hakdars and servants attached to the makkan. In this way amicable settlements have been effected.

No. 3886-8—Muz. F. 36-94, dated Bangalore, 16th June 1904.

Order thereon.—The Akhal Sha Khadri Darga at Channapatna is an important Mahomedan Institution endowed with a monthly Cash grant of Rs. 121-3-2 besides certain Inam lands for Fatiya and other services and for the maintenance of the Darga building. Owing to the mismanagement of the Institution by the Makkandar and to his continued absence from Channapatna and also to disputes among the descendants of the original holder for shares of stipends due to them, the affairs of the Institution have fallen into great confusion. Mr. Ahmed Ali, Assistant Commissioner in charge of Channapatna and other Taluks, has instituted an elaborate enquiry into the various complaints and has submitted the detailed memo read above. The several points urged for consideration of Government relate to the following, *viz* :—

(i) The monthly allowance of Rs. 3-10-8 claimed by Syed Buran Sha Khadri of Thimmasandra village.

(ii) The irregular payment by the Makkandar of stipened allowances to some of the shareholders and the consequent dispute amongst them.

(iii) The dilapidated condition of the Darga building and the measures proposed to be adopted for its restoration.

(iv) The general mismagement of the affairs of the Institution by the present Makkandar and the steps proposed to be taken to prevent the same in future.

2. *Firstly*, as regards the stipened allowance claimed by Syed Buran Sha Khadri, the Assistant Commissioner who held a sifting enquiry into the claim is satisfied that the claimant is the legal grandson of Surfa Bibi, the original incumbent and has as such established his right and title to the allowance of the deceased. The allowance of Rs. 3-10-8 enjoyed by the deceased is recommended both by Mr. Ahmed Ali and the Deputy Commissioner to be transferred to Syed Buran Sha Khadri. This recommendation is sanctioned.

3. *Secondly*, as regards the irregular disbursements of the monthly allowances due to certain stipend holders, it is reported that the allegations of the petitioners that the Makkandar withheld payments to some of the shareholders, are true. To put an end to such complaints in future the Assistant Commissioner has, after a detailed enquiry, submitted a list (hereto appended) showing the names, etc., of each recipient of the stipend and the amount payable to each. The recipients, who number 38 in all are reported to be the legal claimants. The disbursement of the allowances as per list referred to above, is, as recommended by the Deputy Commissioner, approved. And, such disbursements will as heretofore be made by the Taluk Amildar himself in

accordance with the foregoing list, as directed in Government Peoceedings No. 832—Muz, F. 36-94, dated 16th March 1895. Of these 38 recipients the stipends payable to the marginally noted three individuals aggregating Rs. 12-13-0, are reported to be brought under savings owing to the absence of the claimants thereto. It is proposed to establish in the Darga, a Mahomedan religious school, the cost of its maintenance, *viz.*, Rs. 5 a month being met from the savings of Rs. 12-13-0 referred to above. This proposal is sanctioned tentatively for a period of one year after which a report as to its working should be submitted for orders of Government.

	Rs.	a.	p.
Gous Sha Khadri .	... 5	8	0
Hazarambi ...	... 2	11	0
Hazarath Patcha ...	... 4	10	0
Total	... 12	13	0

4. *Thirdly*, as regards the disrepaired state of the Darga building, the Deputy Commissioner states that he has requested the Executive Engineer, Bangalore Division, to forward estimates for needful repairs. The Deputy Secretary to the Government, Muzrai Department who inspected the Institution during his tour in April last, 1903 is of opinion that it requires immediate renovation. The Chief Engineer of Mysore is accordingly requested to issue necessary instructions in the matter and obtain and forward for sanction of Government in the Muzrai Department an estimate for the repairs of the building.

5. *Fourthly*, as regards the general mismanagement of the affairs of the Institution by the makkandar, it is clear from the communications read above, that Syed Mahomed Sultan Mohadin Sha Khadri, the present Makkandar, has grossly mismanaged the affairs of the Darga and has caused a great deal of confusion. On this ground he is said to have been suspended from the office by the local officers in 1900. The Assistant Commissioner now recommends that the suspension may be cancelled and the Makkandar reinstated in office, as he is reported to have permanently come back to Channapatna and given a Mutchalika binding himself to manage the Darga affairs on the most approved lines, subject to the supervision of the Taluk authorities. In these circumstances the Government are pleased to sanction the reinstatement of the Makkandar to his office. As regards, however, the recommendation to the disbursement of the arrears of allowance due to the Makkandar during the period of his suspension, the same will have to be dealt with separately on receipt of a detailed report from the Deputy Commissioner, showing the particulars of the suspension of the Makkandar together with his opinion in regard to the disbursement of the arreas in question.

6. *Lastly*, in regard to the future management of the Darga, the Assistant Commissioner reports that detailed list of daily services therein together with periodical ceremonies connected therewith, has been prepared and forwarded to the Amildar for guidance. To supervise the working of the Institution, the names of eight respectable Mahomedan residents of Channapatna are suggested for their being appointed Nazarine-ou-Koffs. The proposed number appears to be unnecessarily large and sanction is accorded to appoint five of them, a notification for which purpose will be separately issued. Their duties and powers will be the same as those of Dharmadarsis appointed from time to time for Hindu religious Institutions.

7. As regards the income of the Inam land attached to the Darga being rateably distributed among the shareholders, the Government cannot accept the recommendation as it will be prejudicial to the interests of the Institution. The entire income should be regularly collected and credited to the funds of the Darga in view to its being utilized towards the periodical repairs thereof,

Draft

~~Offg.~~ *Secy., Muzrai Dept.*

Commissioner, Bangalore District, returning the entire records.

eer of Mysore, with reference to para 4.

to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore, for

1903-04

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS
THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

MUZRAI

PROCEEDINGS

Dated 16th June 1904

No. 3886-8
Muz. F. 36-94

Passing orders on the mismanagement of the affairs of the Akhal Sha Khadri Darga at Channapatna

SBP.-11-7-04—300

శ్రీ నిమ్మగడ్డ శ్రీ వెంకటకృష్ణారావు
గారి పేర్కొనబడిన వివరాలు ఇలా
ఉన్నాయి

శ్రీ నిమ్మగడ్డ వెంకటకృష్ణారావు
గారు ఆంధ్రప్రదేశ్ రాష్ట్ర ఎన్నికల
కమిషనర్ గా ఉన్నారు.

No. 4046
M.T. No 36-94

వీరు ఈ నియోజకవర్గంలో ఓటర్లుగా
ఉన్నారు. వీరి ఓటు నెంబర్ 3170
అని తెలుపడమైనది.

ఇట్లు
ఆర్.ఓ. పెద్దాపురం
తూర్పు గోదావరి జిల్లా
ఆంధ్రప్రదేశ్

ని వ్యక్తినరిశీ దిండులో ధిక్కరించుటని మ
శీ ఇల.వశి మలం ధ్రువపత్ర శరణిలైకేంక్షకొంచక్
క్లైంటెనిందకిల్పనాంశీ తశక్షవించళ్ళు
లుతలిక్కి కమ్ నిరవధిశీలలంచిదం
యిగ్గుందశశీని ఉద్ధిశీళీయచలి

By Order
24/6/04
Secretary Mining
Depart

Draft
29-6-04

24/6/04